

Philosophy 500 — Practice midterm solutions

A. Relating logical concepts

1. If the set $\{A \vee B, C\}$ is inconsistent, the argument $C \& A \therefore B$ is valid.

True. If $\{A \vee B, C\}$ is inconsistent, then it's impossible for C to be true while $A \vee B$ is true. But $A \vee B$ is true if and only if one of them true. Therefore, it's impossible for C to be true while either A or B is true. So it's impossible for C and A to both be true. Therefore, it's impossible for $C \& A$ to be true (since it's true if and only if both C and A are), and so impossible for it to be true while B is false, which means the argument is valid.

2. If A and $B \& C$ are logically equivalent, then $\{A, B\}$ is consistent.

False. For example, A could be "The sky is both blue and not blue", B could be "The sky is blue", and C could be "The sky isn't blue".

3. If $A \rightarrow B$ is a contradiction, then A is a tautology.

True. If $A \rightarrow B$ is a contradiction, it means that it's false in every possible world. But $A \rightarrow B$ is false if and only if A is true and B is false. Therefore, it would mean that in every possible world A is true and B is false, so A is a tautology, by definition.

4. If $A \vee B$ is contingent, then so is $A \leftrightarrow B$.

False. For example, if A and B are both "The sky is blue", $A \vee B$ is contingent, but $A \leftrightarrow B$ is a tautology.

5. If the argument $A, B \therefore C$ is valid, so is $A \therefore B \rightarrow C$.

True. Since the first argument is valid, it's impossible for A and B to be true while C is false. Now, for the second argument to be invalid, it would mean that it's possible for A to be true while $B \rightarrow C$ is false. But $B \rightarrow C$ is false if and only if B is true and C is false. So what would be required for the second argument to be invalid is that it be possible for A to be true while B is true and C is false. But this is exactly what we know is impossible, since the first argument is valid. So the second argument is also valid.

B. Translations

A : Anna is a pilot.

B : Brock is a pilot.

C : Corrina likes driving.

D : Daisy likes driving.

1. Brock is a pilot only if Anna is, but he's not a pilot unless Daisy likes driving.

$(B \rightarrow A) \ \& \ (\neg B \vee D)$

2. Corrina and Daisy don't both like driving, but one of them does.

$\neg(C \ \& \ D) \ \& \ (C \vee D)$, or, alternatively, $C \leftrightarrow \neg D$

3. Either Brock isn't a pilot, or it's not the case that both Corrina and Daisy like driving.

$\neg B \vee \neg(C \ \& \ D)$

4. Corrina likes driving if neither Anna nor Brock is a pilot.

$(\neg A \ \& \ \neg B) \rightarrow C$

5. Unless Corrina likes driving, either Anna is a pilot but Brock isn't, or Daisy doesn't like driving.

$C \vee (A \ \& \ \neg B) \vee \neg D$

C. Tree diagrams

1. $\neg(A \ \& \ \neg(B \rightarrow C))$

Sentence

2. $B \leftrightarrow \neg(B \vee \neg C)$

Sentence

3. $A \rightarrow (C \neg \ \& \ A)$

Gibberish

4. $(A \ \& \ B) \ \& \ C \vee B$

Gibberish (ambiguous)

5. $\neg A \ \& \ (\neg \neg C \rightarrow D)$

Sentence

D. Applying truth tables

1. Is the set $\{A \rightarrow \neg B, B \rightarrow C, A \& C\}$ consistent?

It is consistent:

A	B	C	$A \rightarrow \neg B$	$B \rightarrow C$	$A \& C$
T	F	T	T	T	T

2. Is the argument $A \rightarrow (A \& \neg B), \neg B \rightarrow \neg A \therefore \neg(A \vee \neg B)$ valid?

It isn't valid:

A	B	$A \rightarrow (A \& \neg B)$	$\neg B \rightarrow \neg A$	$\neg(A \vee \neg B)$
F	F	F	T	F

3. Are the sentences $A \leftrightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$ and $(B \vee A) \& \neg(A \& \neg B)$ logically equivalent?

They're not logically equivalent:

A	B	$A \leftrightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$	$(B \vee A) \& \neg(A \& \neg B)$
T	F	T	F

4. Is the sentence $[A \rightarrow (B \vee C)] \leftrightarrow [\neg B \rightarrow (C \& A)]$ a tautology, a contradiction, or contingent?

It's contingent:

A	B	C	$[A \rightarrow (B \vee C)] \leftrightarrow [\neg B \rightarrow (C \& A)]$
T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F

5. Is the argument $B \leftrightarrow (A \vee \neg B), A \rightarrow \neg A \therefore A \& B$ valid?

It is valid:

A	B	$B \leftrightarrow (A \vee \neg B)$	$A \rightarrow \neg A$	$A \& B$
T	T	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	F
F	F	T	T	F

Good luck!